

Research Update:

University of Connecticut \$432.4 Million 2026A GO Bonds Rated 'AA-'; \$148.5 Million 2026 GO BANs Rated 'SP-1+'

February 27, 2026

Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA-' long-term rating to the [University of Connecticut](#)'s \$432.4 million general obligation (GO) bonds, series 2026A, and its 'SP-1+' short term rating to the University of Connecticut's \$148.5 million taxable GO bond anticipation notes (BANs), series 2026. [Connecticut](#)'s debt service commitment secures both series.
- We also affirmed our 'AA-' rating on the university's parity debt outstanding, our 'AA-' rating on the state's GO debt outstanding, and our 'AA-' rating on state debt secured by a special capital reserve fund, which we view to be on par with the state GO rating as state-supported GO-equivalent securities, including various issues by the [Connecticut Housing Finance Authority](#), [Connecticut Health & Educational Facilities Authority](#), and [Connecticut Green Bank](#).
- We affirmed our 'AA-/A-1' dual rating on the state's series 2016C GO bonds and on the [Capital Region Development Authority](#)'s series 2004B bonds, with liquidity support provided by Bank of America N.A.
- Lastly, we affirmed our 'A+' rating on the state's appropriation-secured debt and our 'A-' rating on obligations secured by the state's moral obligation pledge.
- The outlook, where applicable, is stable.

Rationale

Security

The University of Connecticut's series 2026A GO bonds and series 2026A BANs are secured by the state's debt service commitment, which is defined by Public Act 95-230 (UConn 2000 Infrastructure Improvement Program) and the GO master indenture. State statutes and the indenture obligate the state treasurer to make annual payments to the trustee from Connecticut's general fund in an amount sufficient to cover any GO debt service secured by the state's debt service commitment for the University of Connecticut. These payments are not

Primary Contact

Rob M Marker
Denver
1-303-721-4267
Rob.Marker
@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Thomas J Zemetis
New York
1-212-4381172
thomas.zemetis
@spglobal.com

subject to legislative authorization or appropriation, which we view as equivalent to Connecticut's GO pledge. The bonds are also secured by a GO pledge of the university (excluding patient revenues and any other revenues derived from clinical operations of the university). We rate to the strength of the pledge provided by the debt service commitment. Under the UCONN 2000 legislation enacted in 1995, the state's debt service commitment extends through final maturity of all bonds issued under the program.

Connecticut's GO debt is secured by the state's full faith and credit and its taxing power. We rate the state's appropriation debt that is subject to biennial legislative approval one notch below the GO rating reflecting appropriation risk. We also rate certain special obligations of Connecticut for which the state pledges legally available funds to make debt service payments on behalf of various public authorities, institutions, and municipalities. These funds are deemed appropriated by the state and do not require annual legislative approval, which we view as equivalent to Connecticut's GO pledge.

We rate the moral obligation bonds three notches below the GO rating, reflecting the contingent obligation of the state to replenish draws on the debt service reserve fund established for the for bonds. Finally, the short-term component of the dual rating reflects the short-term rating on Bank of America N.A., which provides an enhancement for the bonds in the form of a standby bond purchase agreement.

Proceeds of the series 2026A bonds will finance capital improvements to various university facilities under the UCONN 2000 Infrastructure Improvement Program and currently refund outstanding GO bonds. The series 2026 BANs will finance capital improvements at Waterbury Hospital, which is being acquired by the university.

Credit highlights

The rating reflects our view of Connecticut's structurally balanced financial performance and record-high budget reserve fund (BRF) balance, which, in our view, has been achieved through proactive management practices, including long-term financial forecasting to identify potential budget gaps and financial controls to manage expenditure growth and potential cyclical revenue pressures. The state's favorable economic metrics, specifically high per capita income and gross state product (GSP), support our view of Connecticut's underlying credit quality. While incomes and production remain well above national averages, they have fallen in relation to the U.S. for over a decade, which in our view is primarily related to corporate downsizing, strategic relocations, and a loss of finance employment. Also, high debt and underfunded pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) liabilities, which make up a large share of its budget, remain a credit pressure. These liabilities could limit budgetary flexibility as the state navigates potentially slower economic and revenue growth conditions. Connecticut's commitment to statutory provisions that reduce budget volatility and managing the budget proactively to sustain structural balance beyond the current biennium, particularly as extraordinary federal funds diminish and some program costs shift back to the state, will remain important to the state's long-term credit quality.

The Office of Policy and Management's (OPM) most recent general fund update (Feb. 20, 2026) estimates a fiscal 2026 general fund surplus of \$77.3 million, equal to 0.3% of its revenue estimate based on revenue and expenditure performance through January. The estimated surplus is primarily the result of personal income tax and pass-through entity taxes performing better than projected. However, it is a relatively large decrease from the \$309 million surplus included in the budget plan. We will continue to monitor performance throughout the remainder of the fiscal year and any legislative actions taken if the projected surplus turns negative. Fiscal

2025 performance was strong, with a reported \$410 million surplus, which was primarily used to fund the state's early childhood education endowment (\$300 million). The remaining \$110 million was used to pay down unfunded pension liabilities for the state's two largest pension systems, the State Employees Retirement System (SERS) and the Teachers' Retirement System (TRS).

On Feb. 4, 2026, the governor released his proposed budget adjustments for the fiscal 2027 budget. It is largely unchanged from the enacted budget but recommends approximately \$546 million in tax relief with the majority related to a proposed \$500 million, one-time sales tax rebate that would be funded by using excess fiscal year 2026 revenues subject to the state's volatility cap, which directs collections from the estimated and final components of the personal income tax plus the pass-through entity tax exceeding a designated threshold to the BRF. A special session in November 2025 also set aside \$500 million in planned volatility cap transfers for the purpose of responding to and mitigating the effects of current and potential reductions to federal funding. A portion (\$188 million) has been encumbered for specific purposes, and the governor's budget proposal recommends transferring the remaining \$331 million to a newly established federal cuts response fund until the close of fiscal 2027. The state's rainy day fund is projected to be maintained at its statutory 18% cap through the biennium.

The noted changes to the volatility cap threshold will provide near-term budgetary relief and potentially provide residents with tax relief but could delay efforts to reduce the state's extraordinarily high unfunded pension liability. Nevertheless, we view the volatility cap and other fiscal guardrails enacted by the state as important financial controls of volatile revenues. Since 2020, the state has transferred over \$10 billion to its pension systems to reduce unfunded liabilities, providing an estimated over \$850 million in annual savings. Conversely, an eroding commitment to its statutory financial controls could weaken our view of these mechanisms to maintain budget stability and reduce its long-term fixed cost burden, which could cause downward rating pressure.

Despite recent funding progress, combined debt service, pension, and OPEB costs account for a comparatively high 30% of Connecticut's fiscal 2024 appropriations. When factoring in the state's high proportionate state-only share of entitlement programs (like Medicaid and other social assistance), all-in fixed costs make up more than 50% of the state's appropriations, which we believe poses a significant potential barrier to closing budgetary gaps during future economic downturns.

The 'AA-' rating reflects our view of Connecticut's:

- Income and GSP per capita metrics that continue to rank among the highest in the U.S., fueled by a broad and diverse economic base across the financial services, education and health services, defense manufacturing, and professional and business services sectors;
- Recent financial predictability embedded within its institutional framework, albeit with some restricted flexibility to adjust spending due to a high fixed-cost burden;
- Active management, with regular monitoring of revenues and expenditures, including monthly reporting and consensus revenue forecasting three times annually, and authority of the executive branch to make mid-biennium adjustments to the budget;
- Budget reserves at historical highs, with expectations that balances in the BRF will be maintained at approximately 18% of net general fund appropriations through the fiscal 2026-2027 biennium; and
- Very high debt and significantly underfunded pension and OPEB liabilities, which could pose a substantial impediment to solving future structural budget gaps during recessions.

Environmental, social, and governance

Environmental, social, and governance factors do not materially influence our credit analysis for Connecticut. We consider the state's social capital risks to have an overall neutral influence on our credit analysis, as recent in-migration trends and agency-level planning, which anticipates future service needs, help mitigate potential demographic pressures. We view risk management, culture, and oversight risks as having a neutral influence on our credit analysis for Connecticut, the state's high-to-very high fixed costs can potentially constrain expenditure flexibility and financial performance.

Outlook

The stable outlook incorporates our expectation that the state will continue its commitment to recently extended statutory financial and debt controls, and our view that Connecticut's recent financial momentum and buildup of high reserves during a period of economic and revenue growth will help to address future budget uncertainties.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the state does not continue to prioritize structural stability in future biennial budgets, or if it demonstrates a weakened commitment to financial controls or to sustaining its reserve and liquidity position at currently high levels. We could also lower the rating if the debt and liability burden rises to a level that we view as less sustainable.

Upside scenario

If the state makes continued progress in reducing its overall debt, pension, and OPEB liability burden from its currently very high level, we could raise the rating.

Credit Opinion

Institutional Framework

In our view, Connecticut's institutional framework supports predictability, revenue and expenditure management, and transparency. Under its constitution, the state requires adoption of a balanced budget, but we view its flexibility to reduce spending as limited, given its historically comparatively high fixed cost and entitlement (Medicaid and other social services) structure.

Connecticut is not a voter-initiative state, and the framework governing both state-derived and federal revenue has generally been predictable, with no significant changes to revenue structure or operations in recent years. Appropriations are limited to 98.75% of annual projected revenues, helping support budgetary balance. The state also adheres to a revenue volatility cap that requires it to transfer business pass-through entity tax and certain annual income tax revenue receipts above a set threshold to the BRF. Any excess money above the BRF's 18% statutory reserve cap is used to make additional payments toward the state's unfunded pension liabilities. The revenue volatility cap was designed to capture expected excess personal income tax and pass-through entity tax revenues to bolster reserves and address long-term liabilities, while also limiting historically cyclical income tax fluctuations. The legislature and executive branch agreed to extend the volatility cap and appropriation limits through July 1, 2033, with an opportunity to revisit these provisions in 2028.

Management

We consider Connecticut's management robust, reflecting our view that policies and practices are well embedded and likely sustainable.

Our assessment incorporates management's evolving practices and planning over the past several fiscal biennia as Connecticut demonstrated a firm commitment to the statutory provisions put into its bond covenants. We view these fiscal guardrails favorably, as they have resulted in more disciplined financial practices in the areas of debt, budget, and reserve management and more forward-looking planning that informs the executive branch and legislature's financial decision-making.

Key practices and policies of the state include the following:

- Consensus revenue estimates by the OPM and the legislature's Office of Fiscal Analysis are required by statute, on or by Nov. 10, Jan. 15, and April 30 of each year, and must cover a five-year period. The state's long-term financial planning includes a three-year forecast in addition to the biennial budget.
- Statutorily required monthly revenue and expenditure forecasts are measured against the budget, and conducted by both OPM and Office of the State Comptroller. These two offices generate monthly reports projecting year-end surpluses or deficits.
- Intra-year budget monitoring practices are well embedded, and if the comptroller reports a projected general fund deficit of greater than 1%, the governor is required to file a deficit-mitigation plan. Although the governor might reduce appropriations, this is limited to 5% of total appropriations and 3% of any fund, with any additional reductions requiring legislative approval. The state is allowed to end the year in a deficit position, although statutory provisions provide that any new budget deficit after fiscal 2013 must be funded in the budget of the year following the next fiscal year.
- A comprehensive five-year capital improvement plan is part of the state's annual November update, which includes funding sources.
- Statutes prescribe the investment of state funds and debt management policies that guide amortization and issuance. Dedicated staff monitors investments and generates monthly reports. Connecticut also holds at least quarterly meetings with the investment advisory commission.
- The state has a statutorily authorized BRF at a maximum of 18% of general fund appropriations, beginning July 1, 2024, an increase from the previous statutory maximum of 15%. State statutes prescribe that all unappropriated general fund surpluses must be transferred into the state's BRF, and that the fund can be drawn on only to fund operating deficits.

Economy

Connecticut's GSP growth trailed the U.S. for much of the past decade, and we forecast state GSP growth of 6.2% between 2026-2029 compared with 8.1% national growth. However, we recognize the state's highly skilled workforce and broad and diverse industry composition--including the substantial presence of education and health services, financial services, insurance, and defense manufacturing--anchor the state's high per capita productivity and personal income levels well above the national average. That said, Connecticut's GSP per capita as a percentage of the U.S. has fallen from near 140% in 2019 to 114% as of 2024. Professional and

business services and information employment declined by 1.1% and 4.2%, respectively, in fiscal 2024 while education and health services, leisure and hospitality, and construction and mining realized the largest gains (2.4%, 1.9%, and 1.9%, respectively). Continued contraction of higher-paying, highly productive industries could result in further worsening of state economic metrics relative to the U.S.; however, both production and incomes remain comparatively high, and our forecasts project relatively stable income and production metrics through 2029.

The two largest contributing industries to state GSP are finance and insurance (27%) and professional and business services (14%). We view both industries as generally stable but recognize occasional revenue volatility stemming from income tax collections that are partially derived from employee bonus pools that are highly dependent on corporate profits. However, the state's prudent implementation of and commitment to its revenue volatility cap helps mitigate this risk. The state does not have any elevated exposure to industries that we consider volatile; in fact, every industry we classify as volatile makes up a lower proportion of Connecticut's GSP than the national average. State employment improved to above pre-pandemic levels by June 2023, but the state's employment growth rate of 0.8% and 0.4% in 2024 and 2025, respectively, trailed the U.S.' growth of 1.1% and 0.8%.

The state's population increased each year from 2022-2025, reporting approximately 67,000 new residents, but we anticipate growth will slow and the population will modestly decline 0.47% through 2029. An important credit consideration for Connecticut will be the track of its population growth and aging of its prime working-age labor force as it faces increasing demographic challenges that weigh on economic growth. The state's aging population, consistent with that of the rest of New England, will likely limit economic growth and create higher service-level demands that affect finances. Favorably, the state's highly educated workforce, with more than 40% of its population over the age of 45 holding a bachelor's degree or higher, is likely to aid with innovation and long-term business expansion.

Financial Performance, Reserves, And Liquidity

Connecticut has generally reported general fund operating surpluses during periods of economic growth, which have helped it increase reserve balances to manage potential economic and financial downturns. Our assessment, however, reflects the state's previous use of gap-closing solutions during downturns that relied on significant nonrecurring measures and substantial use of reserves. Nevertheless, based on current revenue expectations and the extension of fiscal guardrails that support budget predictability, we generally view the state's budget projections as supporting structural balance in the fiscal 2026-2027 biennium.

The BRF is projected to remain fully funded, at 18% of general fund appropriations, through the biennium. We view these strong reserves as an important tool for the state to navigate cyclical revenue declines during economic downturns and a potentially slower financial recovery compared with other states. We believe there are robust mechanisms in place--through the state's revenue volatility cap--to replenish potential draws and build balances should they be drawn on.

Debt And Liabilities

We view the state's tax-supported debt to be very high by nearly all measures, and the elevated debt burden has historically been a constraining factor on the state rating. Net direct debt totaled approximately \$25 billion (including GO, transportation, and capital lease debt) as of June 30, 2024. Although several of the state's debt metrics fell slightly in fiscal 2023 from fiscal 2022,

Connecticut's debt remains the highest of all states at \$6,829 per capita, but we also recognize that the state amortizes its debt comparatively rapidly, with nearly 80% expected to be retired within 10 years.

We expect most of Connecticut's debt metrics will remain elevated for the foreseeable future, with modest reductions to the debt burden over the long term.

Pension and OPEB liabilities

The state's net pension liability (NPL) is very high compared with that of peers. Its unfunded pension liability across all plans remains approximately \$34.97 billion for fiscal 2024, although funding progress has improved following \$8.6 billion in supplemental pension payments to the state's two largest plans between fiscal years 2020 and 2024, with expectations of a year-end supplemental contribution of \$2.1 billion for fiscal 2025.

Connecticut's pension funded ratios as of June 30, 2024, for plans representing a significant portion of the state's NPL are:

- SERS: 55% funded with the state's applicable NPL of \$19.2 billion
- TRS: 62% funded with the state's applicable NPL of \$15.9 billion

In our opinion, lowering the assumed rate of return to 6.9%, using a closed-layered amortization method, and converting it to a level-dollar funding plan should improve plan liquidity in the long term and stabilize future costs. Although changes in assumptions more closely align with our evaluation of pension risk, the state's large unfunded liability presents a persistent credit pressure.

The state's OPEB liability also remains a source of credit pressure because the liability is high compared with that of peers, but recent actions to reduce the liability and prefund an OPEB trust reduced the unfunded liability. Based on the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Nos. 74 and 75 actuarial valuation results for June 30, 2025, the state's applicable net OPEB liability for the state employee OPEB plan was \$16.2 billion, or about \$4,405 per capita, which is elevated compared with other state OPEB plans. The OPEB trust had a current market value of \$3.27 billion as of May 31, 2025, reflecting a funded level of 19%. Connecticut is also statutorily required to fund one-third of teacher pension OPEB costs, plus the shortfall left after employer and employee contributions. The teachers' net OPEB liability was \$3.2 billion, and 7.4% funded.

Connecticut--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	2
Individual credit profile (ICP)	2.20
Economy	1.0
Financial performance	2
Reserves and liquidity	1
Management	1.00
Debt and liabilities	6.00

Connecticut--key credit metrics

	2025e	2024a	2023a	2022a
Economy				
State population ('000s)		3,677	3,647	3,621
Real GSP per capita (\$)		79,957	78,592	77,043
Real GSP per capita % of U.S.		117	118	118
State PCPI (\$)		93,259	89,208	84,704
State PCPI % of U.S.		129	129	128
State unemployment rate (%)		3.2	3.2	4.0
Financial performance - S&P Global Ratings adjusted				
Operating fund revenues (mil. \$)	23,891	22,716	22,823	21,991
Operating fund expenditures (mil. \$)	23,518	22,315	22,267	20,731
Operating result (mil. \$)	373	401	556	1,260
Operating result % of revenues	1.6	1.8	2.4	5.7
Reserves and liquidity - S&P Global Ratings adjusted				
Available reserves (mil. \$)	4,327	4,105	3,316	3,313
Available reserves % of operating revenues	17.7	18.1	14.5	15.1
Debt and liabilities				
Net direct debt cost % of revenues	--	9.5	8.8	8.0
Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues	--	12.1	14.7	12.8
Total current cost % of total government revenues	--	21.6	23.5	20.8
Net direct debt (mil. \$)	--	25,112	25,416	25,739
Net direct debt per capita (\$)	--	6,829	6,969	7,108
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	--	75	75	75
Combined NPLs (mil. \$)	--	34,974	38,010	40,591
NPLs per capita (\$)	--	9,511	10,422	11,210
Combined pension plan funded ratio (%)	--	59.2	54.4	49.9

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. e--Estimated. A--Actual. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

Ratings List

New Issue Ratings

US\$148,530,000 University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series 2026A, dated: March 24, 2026, due: April 1, 2027

Short Term Rating SP-1+

US\$432,370,000 University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2026A, dated: Date of Delivery, due: June 30, 2046

Long Term Rating AA-/Stable

Current Ratings

States

Connecticut, CT Special Transportation Fund Revenues 2nd Lien NR/NR

New Rating

States

University of Connecticut \$432.4 Million 2026A GO Bonds Rated 'AA-'; \$148.5 Million 2026 GO BANs Rated 'SP-1+'

Ratings List

Connecticut, CT General Obligation BAN	SP-1+
--	-------

Ratings Affirmed

Pooled

Connecticut, CT General Obligation Equivalent and Connecticut St Univ Sys, CT Unlimited Student Fees	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT General Obligation Equivalent and University of Connecticut, CT Unlimited Student Fees	AA-/Stable

States

Connecticut Housing Finance Authority CT, General Obligation Equivalent	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT Appropriation Contract	A+/Stable
Connecticut, CT General Obligation	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT General Obligation Equivalent	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT General Obligation Equivalent State Supported Green Bonds	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT General Obligation Equivalent State Supported Parking and Energy Fee Rev Bnds	AA-/Stable
Connecticut, CT Lease Appropriation	A+/Stable
Connecticut, CT Moral Obligation	A-/Stable

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2026 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.